Pathways for Developing Outstanding Teacher Studios in Higher Vocational Colleges

Yingzi Gu*

Zhuhai City Polytechnic, Zhuhai Guangdong, China,519000
**corresponding author:guyingzi@zhcpt.edu.cn*

Abstract: *Outstanding teacher studios can organise sustained inquiry into classroom problems in higher vocational colleges. Their educational value depends on how collective work is supported and evaluated. This paper develops a development framework through literature and policy analysis, mechanism comparison and programme design. It defines a studio as a school-supported group led by an experienced teacher, with colleagues and enterprise mentors contributing to an agreed programme of professional learning. Three Chinese policy documents provide distinct references for studio-related development, teaching innovation teams and recognition of dual-qualified teachers. Research on professional development and professional learning communities informs the proposed allocation of responsibilities and learning activities. The process begins with a documented teaching problem, proceeds through joint planning and observation, and uses student work to guide revision. Enterprise tasks enter teaching after their technical relevance, disclosure conditions and instructional suitability have been reviewed. Differentiated development tasks allow members to assume greater responsibility as their competence grows. Evaluation examines teacher learning and classroom change alongside workload, resource reuse and leadership continuity. The paper presents a theoretical proposal without institutional intervention data or measured effects. A future pilot should examine feasibility and whether teachers can sustain and transfer changes beyond the studio's original participants.*

Keywords: *higher vocational education; outstanding teacher studio; teacher professional development; professional learning community; industry-education integration; classroom improvement*

1. Introduction

A vocational teacher may attend a demonstration lesson, adopt its learning materials and still encounter the same difficulty in the next class. Students complete a practical operation but cannot explain the decision behind it, or they reproduce a model answer without recognising when the conditions have changed. Addressing such problems requires opportunities to examine teaching with colleagues, try a revision and inspect what students actually learn. An outstanding teacher studio can organise these opportunities, provided its activities remain connected to the classrooms in which members teach.

Chinese vocational teacher policies offer several organisational resources for this work. The teacher quality improvement plan for 2021-2025 included studio-related development within a broader training programme[1]. A separate policy addresses vocational teaching innovation teams[2], while the standards for recognising dual-qualified teachers concern individual professional status[3]. These instruments have different purposes and boundaries. A college needs to distinguish a locally organised studio from a formally recognised policy project and understand how collective development can support individual competence. Neither a group's name nor a member's participation alone establishes official recognition.

This paper asks how a studio can turn collective professional learning into a manageable process of classroom improvement in a higher vocational college. A purposive selection of three policy documents and seven studies or reviews provides the basis for analysis. The policy texts address studio-related development, collective teaching organisation and individual professional standards; the research was selected for its relevance to sustained teacher learning, classroom application and vocational participation. Mechanism comparison examines ways of organising the work, and programme design specifies roles, activities and evidence. This is a conceptual synthesis, not a systematic review or an institutional case study. The proposal addresses college leaders, studio hosts and teachers responsible for

professional development, including continuity when membership or leadership changes. Its usefulness depends on local teaching demands and requires subsequent evaluation.

2. Conceptual Foundations and Policy Context

2.1 An operational definition of an outstanding teacher studio

For this paper, an outstanding teacher studio is a school-supported professional learning group in which an experienced teacher coordinates colleagues and relevant enterprise mentors around agreed teaching problems. The host provides expertise and organises inquiry; members contribute classroom evidence, subject knowledge and practical experience. The word outstanding expresses the intended standard of professional work. It does not assert that a particular group has received official recognition or achieved superior outcomes. The definition allows a college to design a local arrangement without assuming that all studios share one national organisational model.

In this framework, a conventional teaching and research unit retains routine responsibilities for course coordination, teaching arrangements and assessment. A studio provides a focused setting for mentoring and collaborative inquiry into a bounded teaching problem. The two may share members and resources, but their responsibilities need to be agreed so that the studio does not create a second approval route for ordinary teaching. A teaching innovation team may also undertake collaborative reform; where it belongs to a formal policy programme, its objectives and review requirements follow that programme. A studio's local charter must therefore specify its remit and its relationship with existing units.

A professional learning community offers a conceptual reference for the studio's shared responsibility and inquiry into practice[4]. The studio needs a continuing work programme, designated responsibilities and a record of teaching decisions. Lesson development, observation and technical study should contribute to an identifiable learning problem. Collegial participation also requires room for members to question a demonstration or report an unsuccessful lesson. The host's responsibility includes making such discussion possible and explaining how the evidence informs the next decision.

2.2 Three policy references and their different purposes

The vocational teacher quality improvement plan for 2021-2025 provides historical context for organised teacher learning[1]. Its provision for master-teacher and master-craftsperson team development included dual-qualified studios alongside separate skills inheritance and innovation platforms, with team study, project research and action learning among the forms of development. This gives a specific policy basis for sustained collaborative work. The plan's funding period and project-specific training arrangements do not establish a current entitlement or a universal operating standard for every studio. A college seeking formal designation must follow the programme and local rules applicable to its application; this paper uses the plan to inform organisational design.

The 2022 notice on vocational teaching innovation teams assigns responsibilities for collective development and institutional support[2]. Its governance principles can inform a studio charter, while staffing ratios and other programme-specific requirements remain attached to the initiative they regulate. The 2022 framework for recognising dual-qualified teachers mainly concerns vocational professional-course teachers, including practical training instructors[3]. It gives priority to professional ethics and attends to theoretical and practical teaching, reform work and occupational experience. Holding two certificates alone is insufficient to interpret these competence requirements. Other specified categories may be considered when the relevant conditions are met. A studio can help members develop relevant competence and evidence, but its internal review cannot replace recognition by the authorised bodies.

2.3 Teacher learning and the limits of existing evidence

Research on professional development provides design questions that are more useful than a list of activities. Garet and colleagues examined features of teachers' learning opportunities and their reported outcomes[5]. Desimone's framework connects the content, organisation and coherence of professional development with teacher learning and subsequent changes in practice[6]. These studies support asking what teachers learn, how they engage with that content and how it relates to their work. Borko's account of teacher learning also directs attention to the interaction between participants and the setting in which professional development occurs[7].

Reviews of professional learning communities and professional development caution against treating participation as a sufficient explanation of instructional change[8,9]. The proposed studio therefore follows a teaching problem through

planning, classroom use and revision. Vocational teachers also need access to changing occupational practice. A Swedish study of participation in vocational teacher development directs attention to the opportunities and conditions through which teachers obtain such learning[10]. Much of the broader evidence comes from educational settings outside Chinese higher vocational colleges. It informs the design of the studio and the questions for evaluation; it does not establish the effects of this particular organisational form.

3. Construction Framework and Comparative Analysis

3.1 Organising responsibilities around teaching problems

The proposed framework gives the school responsibility for authorising the studio's remit, recognising agreed work and providing resources. The host coordinates a development programme and supports members' inquiry. Core teachers lead particular teaching tasks and help colleagues interpret evidence. Young or newly appointed teachers undertake progressively more demanding work according to their needs and readiness. Enterprise mentors review occupational content and the suitability of selected workplace tasks. These roles may overlap, but decisions about teaching, assessment and resource use need named owners. Enterprise expertise does not transfer the college's responsibility for student assessment to an outside participant.

Table 1 compares fragmented teaching-development activities with the proposed studio. The comparison is an analytical framework, not a claim about the prevalence of weaknesses in existing colleges. Workshops, demonstrations and published resources can all contribute to professional learning. The question is whether their contribution can be traced to a teaching problem and to the next decision. For example, a demonstration lesson becomes useful evidence when members identify what students found difficult, test a relevant change in their own classes and compare the resulting work. A studio programme should allow time for this return to the classroom.

Table 1 Analytical comparison of teaching-development arrangements

Development dimension	Fragmented development activities	Proposed studio mechanism
Membership	Attendance determines participation	Roles follow learning needs
Leadership	Host directs most activities	Responsibilities are shared and recorded
Teacher learning	Common programme for all	Differentiated tasks with review
Enterprise input	Visits or occasional presentations	Reviewed tasks translated into teaching
Resources	Outputs accumulate separately	Materials retain purpose and revision history
Evaluation	Activities and awards dominate	Learning and classroom evidence guide judgement
Continuity	Work depends on the host	Shared records and planned succession

3.2 Connecting establishment, operation and review

A studio can organise its work within a college's annual planning cycle, with the schedule adjusted to teaching timetables and access to equipment. Establishment defines the teaching issue and checks that members have the time and support to investigate it. Operation consists of repeated classroom improvement cycles. Review examines what was learned, which changes are sufficiently documented for reuse and what remains unresolved. These stages provide points for institutional decisions, including whether to continue an activity, revise its scope or stop work that no longer addresses the agreed problem.

The records in Table 2 are intended to support those decisions. A charter states who is responsible for the work and how time is allocated. A teaching record links a proposed change to observation and student evidence. A review note explains why an approach is retained or revised. Existing lesson plans and assessment materials can supply much of this evidence; members need not recreate them in a separate reporting system. When a practice is shared beyond its original course, the receiving teacher records the adaptation needed for a different group of students. Reuse therefore becomes a further test of the proposal's instructional value.

Table 2 Responsibilities and evidence across studio development

Development phase	Core task	Responsible actors	Evidence produced	Review criteria
Preparation	Agree problem, roles and resources	School and host	Charter; development plans	Time, resources and roles agreed
Operation	Plan, teach, observe and revise	Members, task leaders and enterprise mentors	Lesson records; student work; reviewed tasks	Evidence supports revision; content checked
Evaluation and improvement	Review learning and plan continuation	School and studio review group	Review note; revised resources; handover record	Reuse conditions and next actions recorded

4. Challenges in Studio Development

4.1 Formal establishment without sustained operation

The risks considered here are issues for local diagnosis. A college may establish a studio, appoint a host and hold an opening activity while leaving its subsequent work unspecified. An annual list of events then offers little guidance about what members are expected to learn between meetings. The diagnostic question is whether a teaching problem is followed through planning, classroom use and review. A charter and timetable can make that sequence explicit, but they require institutional decisions about time and access to facilities. The school should examine whether the agreed programme can actually be carried out within members' recognised duties.

4.2 Dependence on the host

When the host plans every activity, solves technical problems and approves every resource, members have limited opportunities to develop judgement. Work becomes difficult to sustain during an absence or a change of leadership. The school may also assume that the host can resolve timetable or workload problems without institutional support. Allocation of responsibilities needs to separate coordination from resource decisions and give members ownership of specific teaching tasks. Teachers require different levels of support, so delegation should follow a review of their needs and readiness. Access to the reasoning behind decisions helps prepare colleagues to take responsibility for future work.

4.3 Professional learning detached from classroom work

A demonstration or workshop can end before members try its ideas in their own classes. The resource may then be judged by its presentation, with little information about the difficulties students encounter. A common programme can also overlook differences in teachers' knowledge and experience. The connection to classroom work needs to be designed in advance: members identify a question, agree what evidence would help answer it and reserve time for a trial and discussion. An unsuccessful trial can still contribute useful knowledge when the teacher records the conditions and explains the next revision. Evaluation based only on polished outputs discourages that disclosure.

4.4 Limited conversion of enterprise experience

An enterprise visit can expose teachers to current equipment without showing them how to explain the underlying process to students. Some workplace tasks depend on confidential information or conditions unavailable in a college; others exceed students' prior knowledge. Bringing them into teaching requires selection and adaptation, with responsibility for checking technical accuracy and educational suitability. Without that work, enterprise participation may produce accounts of experience that remain detached from lessons. Teachers and mentors need a defined task, an agreed disclosure boundary and time to examine the resulting material. Participation also depends on whether teaching schedules and enterprise access allow members to undertake the work.

4.5 Output counts and resource constraints

Awards and resource counts give limited information about changes in teachers' decisions or student learning. A polished lesson may depend on equipment or preparation time that other teachers cannot obtain. When studio work is added without workload recognition, members may favour outputs that are quick to report. Resource collections can consequently grow without consistent descriptions or evidence of classroom use. Review should examine ordinary

teaching conditions and the support required, as well as selected learning evidence. The school also needs to identify who maintains current versions and retires redundant materials. Otherwise, accumulating outputs can increase reporting and search work while leaving colleagues uncertain about what they can reuse.

5. Development Pathways

5.1 Establish a shared remit and workable responsibilities

The school and proposed host should agree a short charter before recruiting members or announcing outputs. It identifies the teaching problem, the participating courses and the decisions the studio can make. The school assigns a responsible administrator for timetable coordination, workload recognition and access to facilities. The host coordinates the programme, convenes reviews and reports unresolved resource needs. Core teachers accept responsibility for named tasks, such as a lesson sequence or the review of assessment evidence. Enterprise mentors have an agreed contribution and contact route. The charter also states who approves external sharing and who resolves disagreements about the use of materials.

An annual work programme can begin with one bounded problem documented through existing classroom work. For example, teachers may find that students follow a practical procedure yet offer weak explanations when the conditions change. Members inspect the task and student responses before defining the improvement sought. The school then checks the feasibility of observation, practical facilities and teacher release time. Proposed activities are scheduled within recognised work, with the time commitment agreed in advance. The chosen duration and allocation are local planning decisions, not policy requirements inferred from this paper. A brief review during operation can reduce the programme's scope when available time or equipment no longer supports the original plan.

5.2 Differentiate learning and distribute professional responsibility

Each member should agree a development task with the host after reviewing a sample of teaching work and identifying a practical need. A teacher developing classroom confidence may begin by planning a lesson segment and examining the resulting student responses with a mentor. A colleague with stronger teaching experience may lead a cycle across several classes or review an assessment task. A core teacher may coordinate the transfer of a resource to another course. These assignments follow demonstrated needs and readiness; age, seniority and existing titles do not determine a fixed progression.

Support should decrease as the member demonstrates greater independence. The mentor first makes the reasoning behind a decision visible, then reviews the member's proposal and eventually observes independent work. A development record captures the task, feedback, revision and evidence of application. It can use existing teaching documents and a short reflective note. Members periodically review whether the task remains useful and whether the support is sufficient. Enterprise mentors contribute to technical questions, while colleagues with relevant pedagogical experience support lesson design. Hosting a session or preparing a resource becomes evidence of contribution only when its purpose and subsequent use are clear. Distributing such responsibilities also gives the studio a basis for preparing future task leaders and hosts.

5.3 Use classroom inquiry to guide improvement

A classroom improvement cycle begins by stating a question that teachers can investigate during ordinary teaching. If students reproduce a procedure without explaining it, the initial evidence might be their written justifications and responses to a changed condition. Members agree what these responses should reveal before redesigning the lesson. Joint planning specifies the task, teacher prompts and the points at which students make decisions. A colleague observes selected moments of learning using the agreed question and observation criteria. The teaching teacher retains responsibility for the class.

After the lesson, members compare student work with the intended learning and examine alternative explanations for the result. A better response could reflect additional prompting or differences in prerequisite knowledge, so the discussion should record these conditions. The teacher revises the task and tries it again. A second member can then adapt the approach in another class or course to examine its transfer. The resulting resource includes the teaching question, essential task materials, a small selection of appropriately de-identified evidence and the reason for the revision. Students' work is handled under the college's applicable requirements. The cycle produces an account that a colleague can inspect

and question. Professional development research supports this attention to content and application, while its effects in the proposed studio still require evaluation[6,9].

5.4 Translate enterprise practice into teachable tasks

Enterprise participation should begin with a problem relevant to a participating course and an agreed role for the mentor. The teacher and mentor identify the occupational decisions involved, the knowledge required and the conditions that a college can reproduce. Before workplace materials enter the studio, the enterprise contact confirms what may be disclosed. Sensitive production details are removed or replaced with an explicitly instructional version that preserves the teaching purpose. A teacher records these changes so that students are not led to mistake a simplified exercise for a complete account of industrial practice.

The studio's subject specialist reviews technical accuracy, and the course teacher checks prerequisites, teaching time and assessment. A task is ready for classroom use when those responsibilities have been fulfilled and the teacher has checked the required resources. Enterprise practice can then yield a lesson task, an explanation of a work process or a revised assessment scenario. Its value is examined through how students use the material and how teachers explain the occupational decisions. The mentor reviews the technical interpretation after the trial; the teacher decides the educational revision. Occupational experience and pedagogical adaptation are related forms of work, and both require time. Evidence on vocational teachers' participation in professional development makes access to these opportunities a relevant concern[10]. The school can examine whether teaching schedules or enterprise access prevent particular members from taking part.

5.5 Evaluate evidence and prepare for continuity

Evaluation should distinguish contribution to the studio, individual professional learning and classroom change. Attendance and completed tasks describe participation. A revised lesson with an explanation of the reasoning provides evidence of teacher learning. Student responses can help examine whether the intended learning became more visible, subject to the conditions of the task and class. The school should designate a review convenor and involve the host and a peer who did not lead the task. Enterprise mentors can review occupational content within their expertise. This review group inspects selected work against agreed criteria and discusses inconsistent judgements. It should also record workload and the support required, since an approach that depends on unrecognised labour may be difficult to sustain. Awards and publications can be reported as outputs without substituting for these forms of evidence.

At the end of a work cycle, the host and school decide which tasks to continue and which resources are ready for wider use. Shared materials retain their authorship, relevant permissions and revision history. A colleague receiving a resource records its local adaptation and reports problems to the original task leader. The school appoints a deputy or prospective successor when appropriate and provides opportunities to coordinate reviews before a handover. Responsibilities, enterprise contacts and access to working records should be transferable through agreed institutional arrangements. A succession review checks unfinished work and members' support needs. The incoming and departing hosts check responsibility for each continuing task and confirm access to the records and contacts needed to complete it.

6. Conclusions and Limitations

6.1 Implications for studio development

An outstanding teacher studio can be designed around a documented connection between collective learning and classroom work. The proposed framework assigns institutional support to the school, coordination to the host and specific teaching responsibilities to members. It uses differentiated tasks to address professional needs and a classroom improvement cycle to connect planning with evidence and revision. Enterprise participation contributes occupational knowledge through a process that checks disclosure conditions, technical accuracy and educational suitability. These arrangements make it possible to examine how a studio works, beyond the visibility of its events or the number of resources it produces.

The framework requires protected collaboration time, access to ordinary classroom evidence and institutional authority to resolve resource problems. A college with limited release time can begin with a single course and a small number of teaching tasks, then review the workload before extending the arrangement. Enterprise participation should match the occupational content under review. Where external designation or individual professional recognition is sought,

the relevant programme rules still apply. Expansion should depend on whether colleagues can use the materials and assume responsibility for the work with the support available.

6.2 Limitations and future investigation

This paper presents a theoretical analysis and proposed development process. It contains no institutional case study, participant data or measured teaching effects. Its operational definition does not resolve differences among local studio schemes, and formal applications must follow the rules of the relevant programme. Much of the research on professional learning communities and teacher development concerns school education outside the Chinese higher vocational setting. Occupational requirements, student preparation and institutional workloads may alter how those findings apply. The vocational professional development literature provides a closer reference but still requires careful interpretation across national systems.

A future pilot should first examine whether teachers can complete the proposed cycle within their allocated work and whether the records support credible review. Baseline and subsequent teaching tasks can help track changes in teacher reasoning and student responses, with the assessment conditions documented. A later task or classroom trial should examine whether the approach transfers beyond the original lesson. Where comparison groups are feasible, the study needs to consider prior differences, teaching time and access to resources before interpreting outcomes. Interviews and observations can explain why an arrangement was workable or required adjustment. Evidence of participation, professional learning and student learning should be analysed separately, and claims about institutional impact should remain proportionate to the design.

References:

[1] Ministry of Education of the People's Republic of China, & Ministry of Finance of the People's Republic of China. (2021). Notice on implementing the programme for improving the quality of vocational college teachers (2021–2025) (in Chinese). https://www.moe.gov.cn/srcsite/A10/s7034/202108/t20210817_551814.html

[2] General Office of the Ministry of Education of the People's Republic of China. (2022). Notice on further strengthening the development of teaching innovation teams in vocational colleges nationwide (in Chinese). https://www.moe.gov.cn/srcsite/A10/s7034/202210/t20221011_668830.html

[3] General Office of the Ministry of Education of the People's Republic of China. (2022). Notice on the recognition of dual-qualified teachers in vocational education (in Chinese). https://www.moe.gov.cn/srcsite/A10/s7034/202210/t20221027_672715.html

[4] Stoll, L., Bolam, R., McMahon, A., Wallace, M., & Thomas, S. (2006). Professional learning communities: A review of the literature. Journal of Educational Change, 7, 221–258. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10833-006-0001-8

[5] Garet, M. S., Porter, A. C., Desimone, L., Birman, B. F., & Yoon, K. S. (2001). What makes professional development effective? Results from a national sample of teachers. American Educational Research Journal, 38(4), 915–945. https://doi.org/10.3102/00028312038004915

[6] Desimone, L. M. (2009). Improving impact studies of teachers' professional development: Toward better conceptualizations and measures. Educational Researcher, 38(3), 181–199. https://doi.org/10.3102/0013189X08331140

[7] Borko, H. (2004). Professional development and teacher learning: Mapping the terrain. Educational Researcher, 33(8), 3–15. https://doi.org/10.3102/0013189X033008003

[8] Vescio, V., Ross, D., & Adams, A. (2008). A review of research on the impact of professional learning communities on teaching practice and student learning. Teaching and Teacher Education, 24(1), 80–91. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2007.01.004

[9] Kennedy, M. M. (2016). How does professional development improve teaching? Review of Educational Research, 86(4), 945–980. https://doi.org/10.3102/0034654315626800

[10] Andersson, P., & Köpsén, S. (2015). Continuing professional development of vocational teachers: Participation in a Swedish national initiative. Empirical Research in Vocational Education and Training, 7, Article 7. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40461-015-0019-3